# A Novel and Robust Face Clustering Method via Adaptive Difference Dictionary

Jiaxiang  ${\rm Ren}^1$  , Shengjie Zhao  $^1$  , Kai  ${\rm Yang}^1$  and Brian Nlong Zhao  $^2$ 

 $^1\,{\rm Key}$  Laboratory of Embedded System and Service Computing, Tongji University  $^2\,{\rm Shanghai}$  High School International Division

14 July, 2017

### Contents

#### 1 Sparse Subspace Clustering

- Introduction to Sparse Subspace Clustering
- Algorithm of Sparse Subspace Clustering
- Experiments of SSC
- Analysis

#### 2 Enhanced Sparse Subspace Clustering

- Algorithm
- Improvements

#### 3 Q&A

Introduction to Sparse Subspace Clustering

# Sparse Subspace Clustering(SSC)

- E. Elhamifar and R. Vidal, "Sparse subspace clustering", CVPR 2009. IEEE Conference on, IEEE. pp. 2790–2797.
- E. Elhamifar and R. Vidal, "Sparse subspace clustering: Algorithm, theory, and applications," IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell., vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 2765–2781, Nov. 2013.

Introduction to Sparse Subspace Clustering

## Face Clustering



#### Input & Target

- Input : variant face images from multiple subjects
- Target: find images that belong to the same subject

#### The Extended Yale B Dataset

- images from 38 subjects
- 64 images per subject
- resolution:  $192 \times 168$

Algorithm of Sparse Subspace Clustering

# SSC Algorithm

#### The Self-Expressiveness Property of the Data

Each data point in a union of subspaces can be efficiently reconstructed by a combination of other points in the dataset.

min 
$$\|\boldsymbol{C}\|_1 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_1$$
  
s.t.  $\boldsymbol{Y} = \boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{C} + \boldsymbol{E}$ , diag $(\boldsymbol{C}) = \boldsymbol{0}$ , (1)

•  $\boldsymbol{c} = [\boldsymbol{c}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{c}_N]$  is the correlative coefficient matrix

• 
$$\mathbf{Y} = [\mathbf{Y}_{N_1}, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_{N_K}] = [\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$$
 is the input matrix, where  $N = \sum_{k=1}^K N_k$ 

•  $\mathbf{E} = [\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$  is the auxiliary outliers matrix

$$\boldsymbol{w} = |\boldsymbol{c}| + |\boldsymbol{c}^{\mathsf{T}}| \tag{2}$$

where  $\mathbf{W} = |\mathbf{C}| + |\mathbf{C}|^{\mathsf{T}}$  is the similarity matrix, which means the similarity between the point *i* and *j* is equal to the sum of the absolute values of their correlative coefficients, *i.e.*,  $|c_{ij}| + |c_{ij}|$ .

Experiments of SSC

### **Experiments Results**

Algorithm	LSA	SCC	LRR	LRR-H	LRSC	SSC	
2 Subjects							
Mean	32.80	16.62	9.52	2.54	5.32	1.86	
Median	47.66	7.82	5.47	0.78	4.69	0.00	
3 Subjects							
Mean	52.29	38.16	19.52	4.21	8.47	3.10	
Median	50.00	39.06	14.58	2.60	7.81	1.04	
5 Subjects							
Mean	58.02	58.90	34.16	6.90	12.24	4.31	
Median	56.87	59.38	35.00	5.63	11.25	2.50	
8 Subjects							
Mean	59.19	66.11	41.19	14.34	23.72	5.85	
Median	58.59	64.65	43.75	10.06	28.03	4.49	
10 Subjects							
Mean	60.42	73.02	38.85	22.92	30.36	10.94	
Median	57.50	75.78	41.09	23.59	28.75	5.63	

Figure: Clustering Error (%) of Different Algorithms on the Extended Yale B Dataset without Preprocessing the Data <sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> E. Elhamifar and R. Vidal, "Sparse subspace clustering: Algorithm, theory, and applications," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 2765–2781, Nov. 2013.

Enhanced Sparse Subspace Clustering

## Analysis of Results



Figure: Coefficient matrix obtained when clustering error is less than 10%.



Figure: Coefficient matrix obtained when clustering error is higher than 20%.

#### The Defects of SSC

- Accuracy decreases for complicated variations
- Latent structures of multiple subspaces are too complicated to recover

#### Algorithm

### **Basic Idea of ESSC**



Figure: The sparse correlative coefficients of the 76-th sample recovered by the proposed ESSC.

#### Adaptive Difference Dictionary

- Specific features for clustering
- Common features for robustness
- More robust for complicated variations such as disguises (improvement up to 9.0%)
- Scalable and generalized for clustering more subjects

#### Algorithm



### Main Steps

- Construction of the adaptive difference dictionary
- Sparse optimization program
- Spectral clustering



Figure: Face clustering with the adaptive difference dictionary. The adaptive differences play the role to separate the samples so that they can gather in their own subspaces.

#### Algorithm

## Construction of the Adaptive Difference Dictionary

Computing coarse coefficient matrix:

$$Y = YC + E, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \text{diag}(C) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Constructing the difference dictionary items:

$$SCR(\boldsymbol{c}_i) \triangleq \frac{max(\boldsymbol{c}_i)}{\|\boldsymbol{c}_i\|}.$$
 (4)

$$D \triangleq \{ \boldsymbol{d}_* | \forall \text{SCR}(\boldsymbol{c}_*) > 0.1 \} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N_d}, \\ \boldsymbol{d}_* \triangleq \boldsymbol{y}_* - \boldsymbol{y}_{\max(\boldsymbol{c}_*)}, \end{cases}$$
(5)

## Sparse optimization program via the adaptive difference dictionary

Computing robust coefficient matrix:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{Z}, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \text{diag}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{0}, \tag{6}$$

where  $\pmb{Z}$  models the Gaussian-noise in data. The corresponding constrained optimization program is

min 
$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{C} \\ \boldsymbol{B} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{1} + \frac{\lambda_{z}}{2} \| \boldsymbol{Z} \|_{F}^{2}$$
  
s.t.  $\boldsymbol{Y} = [\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{D}] \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{C} \\ \boldsymbol{B} \end{bmatrix} + \boldsymbol{Z}, \ \boldsymbol{C}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}, \ \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{C}) = \mathbf{0},$  (7)

which can be solved using the ADMM approach. Thereafter, we use a spectral clustering to get the final clustering results.

#### Improvements

### Geometric Interpretation



Figure: The sparse representation for recovering an image sample  $\mathbf{y} \in S_1$  in the intersection of  $S_1$  and  $S_2 \oplus S_3$ . (a) The distance to  $\mathcal{P}_1$  is shorter than to  $\mathcal{P}_{-1}$ , so the sparse representation recovers correctly. (b) The distribution of the samples in  $S_1$  is odd because the spanned subspace is close to a line. The distance to  $\mathcal{P}_1$  is larger than to  $\mathcal{P}_{-1}$ , so the sparse representation recovers incorrectly. (c) The adaptive difference dictionary generates the common feature space  $S_D$ , where any image sample can "travel around" to find the nearest polytope of the subspace correctly.

Improvements

# **Clustering Variant Face Images**

Table: Clustering Error Rates (%) of Different Algorithms on the AR Database Using Different Features for K = 100 Subjects

Variation		Method				
Sample × Subject	Feature (Dimension)	LRR	SSC	RPCA+SSC	ESSC	
Expression	Downsample(55 $\times$ 40)	73.00	14.50	16.00	13.00	
$4 \times 100$	LBP(5192)	70.75	8.75	4.25	10.00	
Illumination	Downsample(55 $\times$ 40)	65.67	31.00	30.33	31.00	
$3 \times 100$	LBP(5192)	67.67	6.00	6.00	0.33	
Disguise	Downsample(55 $\times$ 40)	68.00	57.33	60.33	55.00	
$3 \times 100$	LBP(5192)	65.33	17.67	14.33	12.67	

The clustering error for ESSC is the lowest in almost all cases which confirms the effectiveness of the adaptive difference dictionary.

#### Improvements

# **Clustering Scalability**



Figure: Clustering error rates for variant disguises on the AR database as a function of the number of subjects.

# Q & A

# Thanks!